

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/808,060	03/14/2001	Noriki Kajizaki	FUJA 18.463	2927	
26304	7590 12/23/2005		EXAMINER		
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP			NGUYEN, STEVEN H D		
575 MADISON NEW YORK,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2665	2665	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/808,060	KAJIZAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
•	7	

	Steven HD Nguyen	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi- te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 December 2005</u>. A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u>)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid of	dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befa	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ce because:			
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Steven HD Nguyen Demary Examiner Art Unit: 2665				

Continuation of 13. Other: the applicant states that Badt fails to disclose a method for selecting a path with a largest MTU for using to carry information between the nodes. In reply, Badt discloses a method and system for discorvery the MTU of the paths and stored the PMTU values of the internet paths into a table to be used for routing the packet as stated in the final office action. Gopalakrishna discloses a method and system for selecting a path with large MTU to be used for routing the packets between the nodes. Since, Gopalakrishna suggests that a large MTU will reduce the latency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the combination of these references perform the step of selecting a large MTU of a set of paths between the nodes to be used for routing the packets. Furthermore, The applicant states that Mulligan fails to disclose a method and system for selecting a largest MTH path between paths. In reply, Mulligan discloses a method and system for determining a PMTU for each path in the network and selecting a primary and secondary path for using to route the packets. Ketchan discloses a method and system for selecting a path with largest payload size that allows by the network to be used for transmitting the combined packets. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the combination of these references perform the step of selecting a large MTU of a set of paths between the nodes to be used for routing the packets such as primariry and secondary paths.